

# Professional Competency Profile (PCP) for Credential Evaluators

A credential evaluator refers to an individual who is involved in the assessment and recognition of international educational credentials. This work is often done within educational institutions, governmental and professional regulatory bodies, and assessment agencies. However, the professional title itself may vary across offices as well as geographic locations. Whatever the term used may be, credential evaluators function as agents of international mobility and as facilitators in the proper placement of students and professionals alike. To support the professional competency of those doing this work, two profiles are presented here – for the evaluator and the senior evaluator. Serving as a tool and guide, these profiles identify the core dimensions of the competent evaluator. However, an institution may elect to adapt these descriptions to its own needs and the purpose and country which it serves.

## Evaluator

The role of the credential evaluation professional is multi-faceted. There are four main areas in which the evaluator must demonstrate competence – **(1) Qualifications and Resources**, **(2) Professional Integrity**, **(3) Technical Knowledge and Analytical Skills**, and **(4) Communication and Data Processing Skills**.

### I. Qualifications and Resources<sup>1</sup>

#### Critical

- Access to Appropriate Resources
- Remain Up to Date in Field

#### Recommended

- Postsecondary education
- Familiarity with additional languages

Credential evaluation work entails research and analysis of the world's educational systems. Hence, comfort and experience researching and analyzing new information from these systems are fundamental skills. In addition to understanding the different educational systems and cycles, it is recommended that credential evaluation professionals obtain a postsecondary degree or equivalent themselves. A degree is an indication that the evaluator has acquired experience in the elementary, secondary, and postsecondary education cycles within one or more systems. Their education should serve to strengthen their research and analytical skills that will serve them well in their work. In addition to the professional's primary working language, it is beneficial for credential evaluators to develop a working knowledge of one or more foreign languages. Linguistic flexibility enables the evaluator to review international credentials more skillfully without immediately having to resort to a

---

<sup>1</sup> Resources do not constitute a competency. However, they have been referenced and included here as they are necessary tools for a competent credentials evaluation professional.

translator. Critically, the professional must have access to a proper credentials evaluation library (electronic and physical) that includes the educational systems of different countries, spans different time periods, and covers different professions, as reflected in [TAICEP's webpage on reference materials](#). As systems change and new resources emerge, credentials evaluators must engage in activities that enable them to remain up to date in the field. Examples of such activities include but are not limited to conference attendance, webinars, committee work, study visits, presenting, and publishing.

## **II. Professional Integrity**

- Confidentiality
- Impartiality
- Knowledge of international data privacy rules.

Credential evaluation involves working with personal, confidential data, with outcomes that affect the lives of applicants. While performing assessments, one should understand the impact of a recognition decision. It is also critical that confidentiality and impartiality be maintained throughout the process. For example, each application must be assessed on its own merits, without bias or prejudice regarding, for example, a person's gender, race, color, religion, or sexual orientation. One's professional integrity, objectivity, and fairness must be maintained at all times. [Please also see TAICEP's Statement of Ethics](#). Every application must be handled based on the same principles, and methodology, and the evaluators should be able to explain every recognition decision with the help of those same principles.

## **III. Technical Knowledge and Analytical Skills**

- Understanding of the Educational System that is the Basis of Comparison
- Understanding of the Educational System for Which Assessment is Requested
- Ability to Conduct Research and Analyze Information
- Ability to think critically, to distinguish Educational Patterns and Time Periods
- Ability to Compare and Contrast Educational Systems as well as Hybrid Systems from Transnational Offerings
- Ability to Apply the Above, along with One's Institutional Requirements to Formulate Credential Assessments

This category highlights the key knowledge areas and skills a credential evaluation professional must possess in order to provide competent assessments and placement recommendations. At the foundation lies a sound understanding and current knowledge of the educational system that the international credential is being compared against. Building upon this base, the evaluator must be able to conduct the research necessary to identify and understand an educational system and the pattern within which it may fall (e.g., British, French, Russian, Latin American, Bologna Declaration-influence, US, and others). The

evaluation professional must be able to discern the appropriate records of study and their authenticity, distinguishing, for example, among what is regarded as official records and certified true copies versus unofficial records and notarized documents. Also, they should have knowledge about the characteristics of the educational documents of a given country, like security details and formats or digital verification options. When the educational records are not officially issued in the language required by the evaluator's institution or organization, the evaluation professional must also be able to establish whether the translations are adequate. They must also possess the ability to determine the type of institution where the studies were completed (e.g. polytechnic, university, hospital school, or secondary school), its recognition, and the appropriate body responsible for bestowing and maintaining that recognition. The evaluation professional must be able to ascertain the level of education being completed (e.g. elementary, secondary, postsecondary, or postgraduate) and whether it is academic or vocational in nature or unitary, binary or other national systems of education. The credential evaluator must also understand the country's quality assurance system. Is recognition made by the government, is there an accreditation body, is there a licensing procedure, is there any programmatic accreditation? Similarly, the credential evaluator must discern the components of the program, including the minimum entrance requirements, curriculum, length and mode of delivery, learning outcomes, what the final qualification provides access to (e.g. employment and/or further education), and, if applicable, its standing within the country's qualifications framework. Depending on the focus of the institution or organization for which the evaluator works, further understanding and training in key professional fields should also be developed, including, but not limited to medicine, teaching, accounting, engineering, and law. A grasp of the grading systems should also be demonstrated, along with the systems of measurement of studies (e.g., hours, credits, coefficients, etc.).

As indicated earlier, no systems stand still and remain unchanged. For this reason, a broad understanding of how educational systems evolve and reform must be held, and that an evaluation of a credential must be on the basis of the time when it was completed. Additionally, the evaluator must possess a grasp of how educational systems relate and differ, and apply this knowledge when assessing transnational programs. Finally, the evaluation professional must have in mind the purpose of the recognition and successfully apply the criteria and policies of their institution, as well as any relevant legislation, to formulate a credential assessment, mastering the details of credentials evaluation, while also maintaining a view of the broader picture and context which these details serve.

#### **IV. Communication and Data Processing Skills**

- Ability to Explain the Evaluation Process and Policies
- Ability to Produce a Clear Evaluation Report
- Ability to Record and Retrieve Information regarding Research, Applications, and Equivalencies

Communication skills ensure the seamless transfer of information that is needed to enable a successful credential evaluation. The evaluation professional must be able to explain the application requirements and evaluation process, both verbally and in written form. They must also be able to produce a clear evaluation report, so that the recipient understands the outcome and can use it toward the purpose for which it is intended. The evaluator must also possess the ability to respond to an appeal and explain the criteria and policies used in completing a report. Finally, the evaluation professional must be able to store and retrieve information related to the applications received and reports processed, facilitating the flow of applications and overall operations of the office.

### **Senior Evaluator**

- Substantial Experience in Evaluating a Wide Variety of Credentials
- Contribution to the Advancement of One's Office
- Contribution to the Growth of the Field

A senior evaluator represents a professional who has developed substantial knowledge, skills, and experience in the areas described above (under the section of "Evaluator"), such as to be able contribute to the development of one's institution or organization, as well as the advancement of the overall field. As experience in the field is valuable, the time it takes to become a senior evaluator can depend on different factors such as the volume, scope, and purpose of credential evaluations, specific participation in international and multinational networks, or exposure to working with both quantitative and qualitative evaluation philosophies and practices. In some environments, an individual may be considered a senior credential evaluator in as little as five years, whereas it may take a decade or longer for another professional to achieve the same level of competency.

During this time, they should have developed experience in evaluating a wide variety of credentials, as appropriate to the office. This may include qualifications spanning different regions, time periods, professional fields as well as all the major educational patterns (e.g., British, French, Russian, Latin American, Bologna Process-patterned, or US systems. Another area of expertise is to become a country or region expert. Such evaluators have a deep knowledge about a certain country or region, not only by knowing the language and the educational system, but also about politics and law of the area.

By nature of their role, the senior evaluator leverages this experience, contributing to the development of their office. Examples include reviewing outgoing files, making recommendations and assisting with shaping policy, establishing best practices, and training and supervising staff. A senior evaluator should not only be able to explain, but also hold defensible practices that would satisfy a review board of mature evaluators. Additionally, the senior evaluation professional contributes to the advancement of the field through the exchange of knowledge. Related activities include serving on committees and other leadership roles of related professional organizations, giving conference presentations and/or training workshops, and contributing to publications, such as blogs, country profiles,

newsletters and books. With specialized knowledge gained, the senior evaluator serves as a resource in credentials evaluation, both within their institution and beyond.

© 2021 TAICEP. First published August 1, 2017. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or part without permission is prohibited. [www.taicep.org](http://www.taicep.org), email: [answers@taicep.org](mailto:answers@taicep.org)